

Scale Technical Meeting Minutes 2016

Report by: Johan Ehlers, Acting Chairman

Present:

Name	Country	Title
Johan Ehlers	RSA	Acting S/C Chair, Delegate
Narve Jensen	NOR	2 nd VP, S/C Member, Delegate
Marius Conu	ROM	S/C Member
Agustin Sevilla	ESP	S/C Member
Max Schilt	SUI	Alt S/C Member
Marek Dominak	POL	S/Member, Delegate
Suzuki Takahashi	SUI	S/C Member
Joao Duarte	POR	Observer
Jose Martinez	ESP	Observer

MINUTES - PROPOSALS

Page 39	Class: F4 a)			Submitted by:	S-C
6.1.9. Documentation (Proof of Scale)					
6.1.9.4 a) Photographic Evidence					
Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):					
S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):		For: 8	Against: 6	Abstain:2	
Technical Meeting Voting:		For: 0	Against: 0	Abstain: 0	
Comments (if necessary): Withdrawn					

Page 39	Class: F4 b)			Submitted by:	S-C
6.1.10. Judging Fidelity to Scale and Craftsmanship					
Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):					
S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):		For: 10	Against: 5	Abstain:1	
Technical Meeting Voting:		For: 0	Against: 0	Abstain: 0	
Comments (if necessary): Withdrawn					

Page 40	Class: F4 c)			Submitted by:	S-C
6.1.11. Static Scoring					
Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):					
S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):		For:14	Against: 0	Abstain: 2	
Technical Meeting Voting:		For: 7	Against: 0	Abstain: 0	
Comments (if necessary): Recommended Unanimously					

Page 40	Class: F4 d)			Submitted by:	S-C
6.1.13. Builder of the Model					
Amended at the Technical Meeting? YES/NO (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):					
S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):		For:14	Against: 1	Abstain:1	
Technical Meeting Voting:		For: 7	Against:	Abstain: 0	
Comments (if necessary): Recommended Unanimously					

Page 41	Class: F4 e)		
	6.1.14. Scale Detail Demonstrations	Submitted by:	S-C
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? YES/ (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red): Delete heading and entire paragraph and insert new paragraph below under paragraph 6.1.10. Judging for Fidelity to Scale and Craftsmanship.		
	<u>Demonstration of Functional Scale Detail during Static Judging</u>		
	<u>The model should be presented for static judging supported only by its undercarriage or normal aids to take-off and landing. If applicable, folding wings may then be unfolded and locked for flight in the manner of the full size aircraft. With the exception of undercarriage retraction, a demonstration of functional detail of any part of the model is permitted providing such functionality is normally only operable by the pilot or aircrew of the full size aircraft, from their crew position.</u>		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 13	Against: 1 Abstain:2
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 7	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary): Recommended Unanimously as Amended		

Page 41	Class: F4 f)		
	6.3.6. Flight	Submitted by:	S-C
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? YES/NO (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 11	Against: 4 Abstain: 2
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 0	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary) Withdrawn		

Page 42	Class: F4 g)		
	6.3.9 Flight Score	Submitted by:	S-C
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For:14	Against: 0 Abstain:2
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 7	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary): Recommended Unanimously		

Page 43	Class: F4 h)		
	6.3.10. Final Scoring	Submitted by:	S-C
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? YES/NO (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 14	Against: 0 Abstain:2
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 7	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary): Recommended Unanimously		

Page 43	Class: F4 i)		
	6.9.5. Static judging K-Factors	Submitted by:	S-C
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? YES/NO (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 10	Against: 5 Abstain: 1
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 6	Against: 1 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary): Recommended		

Page 44	Class: F4 j)		
	6.9.5. Static Judging K-Factors	Submitted by:	POL
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 2	Against: 11 Abstain: 3
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 0	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary): Withdrawn		

Page 44	Class: F4 k)		
	6.9.6.1. Photographic Evidence	Submitted by:	S-C
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? YES / NO (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 8	Against: 6 Abstain:2
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 7	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary): Recommended Unanimously		

Page 45	Class: F4 l)		
	6.9.7. Flying Schedule	Submitted by:	S-C
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 14	Against: 0 Abstain:2
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 7	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary): Recommended Unanimously		

Page 45	Class: F4 m)		
	6.9.8. Final Scoring	Submitted by:	S-C
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 14	Against: 0 Abstain:2
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 7	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary): Recommended Unanimously		

Page 46	Class: F4 n)		
	Annex 6A – Class F4 Judges Guide for Static Judging	Submitted by:	S-C
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 10	Against: 5 Abstain: 1
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 7	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary): Recommended Unanimously		

Page 46	Class: F4 o)		
	6A.1.9. Documentation for Proof of Scale	Submitted by:	S-C
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? YES (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red): Reinstate "Possible downmarking of Craftsmanship (6.1.10.5)"		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 10	Against: 5 Abstain:1
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 7	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary): Recommended Unanimously as amended		

Page 47	Class: F4 p)		
	6A.1.10.1. Scale Drawings	Submitted by:	S-C
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? YES (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red): The drawings are the primary means of determining the accuracy of the The drawings and the photographs are used to determine the accuracy of the		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 8	Against: 6 Abstain:2
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 7	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary): Recommended Unanimously as Amended		

Page 47	Class: F4 q)		
	6A.1.10.4. Surface Texture and Scale Realism	Submitted by:	S-C
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 10	Against: 5 Abstain:1
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 7	Against:0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary): Recommended Unanimously		

Page 47	Class: F4 r)		
	6A1.10.5. Craftsmanship	Submitted by:	S-C
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? YES/NO (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For:10	Against:5 Abstain:1
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 0	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary): Withdrawn		

Page 48	Class: F4 s)		
	6A.1.10.6. Scale Detail	Submitted by:	S-C
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? YES / NO (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 10	Against: 5 Abstain:1
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 0	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary): Withdrawn		

Page 49	Class: F4 t)		
	6C.3.6.11 Realism in Flight	Submitted by:	S-C
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? YES/NO (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 11	Against: 4 Abstain:2
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 0	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary): Withdrawn		

Page 49	Class: F4 u)		
	6C.3.7. W. Wingover	Submitted by:	S-C
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? YES/NO (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 11	Against: 4 Abstain: 2
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 7	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary): Recommended Unanimously		

Page 50	Class: F4 v)		
	Annex 6F F4H – Judges Guide for Static Judging	Submitted by:	S-C
	6F.2 Scale Accuracy (Outline)		
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? YES (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red): The three view drawings are the primary means of assessing The three view drawings together with the photographs are to be used to assess		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For:10	Against: 5 Abstain: 1
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 7	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary): Recommended Unanimously as Amended		

Page 50	Class: F4 w)		
	6F.3 Originality of Model Design and Construction	Submitted by:	S-C
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 10	Against: 5 Abstain:1
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 7	Against: Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary): Recommended Unanimously		

Page 50	Class: F4 x)		
	Annex 6G Class F4K Judges Guide	Submitted by:	S-C
	6G.2.2A Take off with 90° turn and 180° turn		
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? YES/NO (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 11	Against: 0 Abstain: 5
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 7	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary): Recommended Unanimously		

Page 51	Class: F4 y)		
	6G.2.4E Flight in Triangular Circuit	Submitted by:	S-C
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? YES/NO (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 11	Against: 0 Abstain: 5
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 7	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary): Recommended Unanimously		

Page 52	Class: F4 z)		
	6G.2.4F Flight in Rectangular Circuit	Submitted by:	S-C
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 11	Against: 0 Abstain: 5
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 7	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary): Recommended Unanimously		

Page 10	Class: F4 aa)		
	6.1.3. Competition Programme	Submitted by:	Bureau
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For:	Against: Abstain:
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 7	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary): Recommended unanimously		

Page 10-11	Class: F4 ab)		
	6.1.4. Judges	Submitted by:	Bureau
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For:	Against: Abstain:
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 7	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary): Recommended Unanimously		

Page 21	Class: F4 ac)		
	6.9.3. Flight Score	Submitted by:	Bureau
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO (delete as appropriate) (If "yes" then, type in the amended proposal with deletions as strikethrough and new text in bold underlined red):		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For:	Against: Abstain:
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 7	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Comments (if necessary): Recommended Unanimously		