Example

A full example of the ranking system is available at http://www.freeflightnews.org.uk/ranking/example.htm

The principle of the scoring will be demonstrated here with an example of a short ranking list and the results of a small competition.

Suppose the current complete ranking list is:

1   Makarov   150
2   Terlep   126
3   Koglot   121
4   Findahl   116
5   Titov   115
6   Mitchell   100
7   Stamov   80

Now consider an event with the following result:

1   Kreetz
2   Makarov
3   Koglot
4   Lesko
5   Terlep
6   Titov
7   Carter
8   Stamov
9   Nikolov
10   Findahl
11   Slokar

The points allocated for the results (4a) and comments about ranking position for each person:-

1   Kreetz   51   not in ranking, assigned equal 8th ranking
2   Makarov   40   ranking 1
3   Koglot   30   ranking 3
4   Lesko   25   not in ranking, assigned equal 8th ranking
5   Terlep   20   ranking 2
6   Titov   19   ranking 5
7   Carter   not in ranking, assigned equal 8th ranking
8   Stamov   ranking 7
9   Nikolov   not in ranking, assigned equal 8th ranking
10   Findahl   ranking 4
11   Slokar   not in ranking, assigned equal 8th ranking

If these people had finished in their ranking order the order would have been:-

1   Makarov   ranking 1
2   Terlep   ranking 2
3   Koglot   ranking 3
4   Findahl   ranking 4
5   Titov   ranking 5
6   Stamov   ranking 7
7   Kreetz   ranking 8=
7   Lesko   ranking 8=
7   Carter   ranking 8=
7   Nikolov   ranking 8=
7   Slokar   ranking 8=

cont/…

Comparing the competition result order against this gives the correction points (4b):

1	Kreetz	6 places better than ranked order	2 points
2	Makarov	1 place below ranked order	
3	Koglot	in ranked order	
4	Lesko	3 places better than ranked order	1 points
5	Terlep	3 places below ranked order	-1 point
6	Titov	1 place below ranked order	
7	Carter	in ranked order	
8	Stamov	1 place below ranked order	
9	Nikolov	2 places below ranked order	
10	Findahl	6 places below ranked order	-2 points
11	Slokar	4 places below ranked order	-1 point

Note that the correction points would be larger in a large competition with more than the 11 flyers in this example.

The points components and total for the event and the points summary used in the results for competition abbreviation XX are:

		results points	correction points	total points	as shown in results
1	Kreetz	51	2	53	XX=51+2
2	Makarov	40		40	XX=40
3	Koglot	30		30	XX=30
4	Lesko	25	1	26	XX=25+1
5	Terlep	20	-1	19	XX=20-1
6	Titov	19		19	XX=19
7	Carter			0	
8	Stamov			0	
9	Nikolov			0	
10	Findahl		-2	-2	XX=-2
11	Slokar		-1	-1	XX=-1

Adding these to the original ranking would give the following new ranking, assuming all scores count:

1	Makarov	150	+40	190
2	Terlep	126	+19	145
3	Koglot	121	+30	151
4	Findahl	116	-2	114
5	Titov	115	+19	134
6	Mitchell	100		100
7	Stamov	80		80
8	Kreetz	0	+53	53
9	Lesko	0	+26	26
10	Terlep	0	+19	19

---oOo---